# Probabilistic approaches for detecting and locating whole genome duplications

Charles-Elie Rabier joint work with Cécile Ané and Tram Ta

INRA MIAT

June 2014

"Ancestral polyploidy in seed plants and angiosperms", Jiao et al. (Nature 2009)

"Whole-genome duplication followed by gene loss and diploidization has long been recognized as an important evolutionary force in animals, fungi and other organisms, especially plants"

#### WGD in seed plants and angiosperms

#### Jiao et al. (Nature 2009)



#### WGD in bananas

#### D'Hont et al. (Nature 2012)



CE Rabier (6/2014)

# WGD in tomatoes



Sato et al. (Nature, 2012)

#### Traditional methods to detect WGDs

- Synteny-based method : search for synteny gene blocks in and between different genomes
- Age distribution-based method : infer the age of the different duplications (do not require positional informations on the paralogs)

#### Synteny-based methods (e.g. in yeast S.cerevisiae)

Kellis et al. (Nature, 2004) : 2 :1 mapping of syntenic blocks from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to *Kluyveromyces waltii* 



#### Method sensitive to genome rearrangements and gene loss

CE Rabier (6/2014)

Probabilistic approaches for WGDs

Kellis et al. (Nature, 2004)

"S. cerevisiae genome is only 13% larger than K. waltii"

"We can infer that 12% of the paralogous genes pairs were retained in each DCS block, and the remaining 88% of paralogous genes were lost"

# K<sub>s</sub>-based methods

Duplication ages measured by synonymous distance  $K_s$ : number of synomymous substitutions per synonymous site.

Using all pairs of paralogous genes, one genome :



#### Limitation : $K_s$ saturation for old duplicates

# Age-based method on a phylogeny

Jiao et al. (Nature, 2011) :

- genes clustered into families ( "gene family" = a set of genes with common or similar function)
- retained families with particular trees, with duplication prior to monocot-eudicot split
- mixture model





## Probabilistic model for gene family evolution

- phylogenetic framework : multiple species
- probabilistic model to avoid ad-hoc filtering of families or nodes
- requires : genes clustered into families. No synteny.

Birth-death model for small-scale events, and WGD model for large-scale events.

$$\mathsf{likelihood} = \prod_{\mathsf{families } f} \mathsf{likelihood}(f)$$

#### Birth - death process for small scale events



#### Likelihood of gene counts, birth - death process

#### $\lambda, \mu$ : birth & death rates



Bailey (1964)

#### Likelihood of gene counts, birth - death process



Conditional likelihood  $L_v(i)$  at node v: probability of gene count data below v given X = i at parent of v, calculated recursively:

$$L_{v}(i) = \sum_{j} p_{t}(i, j) L_{u_{1}}(j) L_{u_{2}}(j)$$

Geometric prior  $\pi$  for # at the root :

likelihood = 
$$\sum_{j} \pi(j) L_{u_1}(j) L_{u_2}(j)$$

or Csűrös & Miklós (2009)

## Likelihood of gene tree reconciliations, BD process



Problem 1 : each gene tree has many "reconciliations" : to map gene tree inside species tree.

#### Likelihood of gene tree reconciliations, BD process



For a reconciled subtree within a 'slice', *j* tips, 3 colors

Arvestad et al. (2009), Rasmussen & Kellis (2011)

# Likelihood of gene trees reconciliations, BD process



Problem 3 : gene trees lack doomed lineages

 $d_v$ : probability that a lineage starting at node v leaves no descendent (or : is doomed). Recursively :

$$d_{v} = \Big(\sum_{j} p_{t_{1}}(1, j) d_{u_{1}}^{j}\Big) \Big(\sum_{j} p_{t_{2}}(1, j) d_{u_{2}}^{j}\Big)$$

### WGD model for large-scale events



At the WGD :

- each gene is duplicated
- second copy lost immediately with probability 1 – q.

Each WGD has its own retention rate q, to explain :

- Large-scale events
- fragmentation : tendency to lose the extra copy, increased background loss rate shortly after WGD
- extension to whole genome triplications

Rabier, Ta, Ané (2014)

# Likelihood : birth-death + WGD model



Same recursive algorithm through the tree, but new transition probabilities along WGD edges :

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{WGD}}(i,j) = inom{i}{j-i} q^{j-i} (1-q)^{2i-j}$$
 $(i \le j \le 2i)$ 

# Conditioning on data collection process



# Species tree

extinct families are unobservable

families with no gene in outgroup or ingroup species may be excluded (*de novo* or transferred genes)

### Importance of conditioning

Simulated sets of 1000 gene families on 16-species yeast tree, Families with 0 genes in ingroup or outgroup clades : excluded. Birth & death rates ( $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ) estimated from gene counts :



#### Two methods to detect WGDs

Using gene counts only :

- fast (< 1s)
- exact likelihood
- optimize  $\lambda, \mu$  and separate *q*'s at each WGD
- but : limited information

 $R \; package \; \texttt{WGDgc}$ 

#### Using full sequences :

- rich information and model, but
- **slow** (e.g. 1h/family) : integrate over tree, reconciliation, branch lengths (gene-specific and species-specific rates).
- approximate likelihood
  - search over gene trees, but most parsimonious reconciliation.
  - new algorithm to find MP reconciliation with WGDs
- fixed  $\hat{\lambda},\hat{\mu}$

C++ program spimapWGD, based on SPIMAP (Rasmussen & Kellis 2011)

# If you are interested in the gene tree ...

#### Some notations

- S : species tree
- D : data (ie. alignment data)
- T : gene tree topology
- l : branch length
- R : reconciliation

#### **Bayesian** framework

- $\mathbb{P}(T, R|S)$  : topology prior
- $\mathbb{P}(\ell | T, R, S)$  : branch length prior
- $\mathbb{P}(T, R, \ell | D, S)$  : posterior

# $\Rightarrow$ Markov Chain Monte Carlo (Hasting Metropolis) to estimate posterior distribution $\mathbb{P}(T, R, \ell | D, S)$

#### Approximate versus exact likelihood

#### **Exact Likelihood**

$$\mathbb{P}(D|S) = \sum_{T,R} \int_{I} \mathbb{P}(D, I, T, R|S)$$
$$= \sum_{T,R} \int_{I} \mathbb{P}(D|I, T, S) \mathbb{P}(I|T, R, S) \mathbb{P}(T, R|S)$$

Approximate Likelihood

$$\mathbb{P}(D|S) \approx \mathbb{P}(D, \hat{\ell}, \hat{T}, \hat{R}|S)$$

with  $\hat{\ell}, \hat{T}, \hat{R}$  maximum a posteriori estimators of  $\ell$ , T, R given the data

#### Performance on simulated data



20,000 families per replicate  $\lambda = .02, \mu = .03$ 500-bp sequences

- using gene counts : R package WGDgc
- using full sequences : C++ program spimapWGD, based on SPIMAP (Rasmussen & Kellis 2011)

- Equal base frequencies (Jukes-Cantor)
- Data simulated either under no WGD, or with WGD (true retention rate q = 0.2, 0.5 or 0.9)
- 20000 gene families
- Each gene family analyzed 11 times (*q* = 0, *q* = 0.1, ..., *q* = 1), in order to try the different retention rates

 $\Rightarrow$  220000 jobs = 75 years completed in 2 days using the high throughput computing ressources with Condor, Open Science Grid.

### The Condor team



>condor q -run rabier

10505346.0 rabier glidein10012@ iut2-c086.iu.edu 10505347.0 rabier glidein4215@ compute-2-1.nys1 10505348.0 rabier glidein2561@ iut2-c048.iu.edu 10505349.0 rabier slot1@ wid-exec-1.chtc.wisc.edu 10505353.0 rabier glidein15691@hansen-a003.rcac.purdue.edu 10505354.0 rabier glidein25903@node254.red.hcc.unl.edu 10505355.0 rabier glidein11128@ acas0584.usatlas.bnl.gov 10505356.0 rabier glidein9966@ node198.red.hcc.unl.edu

Indiana university, Cornell university, University of Wisconsin, Purdue university, university Nebraska-Lincoln, Brookhaven national lab ....

# Some areas of application of Condor



Peter Higgs



#### Estimation of retention rate q



#### Power to detect the WGD

from sequences

from gene counts



100% from  $q \ge 0.2$  and  $\ge 500$ -gene families

#### WGD location



With uncertain location of WGD : likelihood maximized over two hypothesized edges.

When detected, the WGD location was correctly estimated.

### Yeast genome evolution

Kellis et al. (2004), from synteny on *Kluyveromyces waltii* and *S. cerevisiae* : "12% of the paralogous gene pairs were retained in each doubly conserved synteny block"

- 9209 gene families (Butler et al 2009)
- filter : 3932 families with ≥ 1 gene in both *Candida* and *Saccharomyces* subclades



#### A phylogenetic tree of gene family 1306



2 duplications at the WGD (red circles), 0 loss at the WGD 1 duplication, 10 losses (blue crosses)

CE Rabier (6/2014)

### Testing the Yeast WGD



retention rate :  $\hat{q} = 6.81\%$ , in [0.058, 0.079] with 95% confidence

### Yeast WGD timing

 $\hat{t} = 0$  : immediately before speciation,  $\hat{t} \leq 5.04$  My with 95% confidence.



- variation in background duplication/loss rates across families
- errors in species tree branch lengths
- errors in gene count data, e.g. from low-coverage genomes or transcriptomes

#### Extension : error model for gene counts

Incompletely sampled genomes : sampling frequency  $f_u$  for species u. transition probability, extra edge at u :

$$\boldsymbol{p}_u^{\text{sampling}}(i,j) = \binom{i}{j} f_u^j (1 - f_u)^{i-j}$$

Error models for assembly and clustering errors : Han et al. (2013)



### Extension : gene transfers

Include gene transfers : duplication-loss-**gain** process, or duplication-**transfer**-loss.

Csűrös & Miklós (2009) : rates  $\lambda, \mu$  and  $\kappa$ .



Cécile Ané Tram Ta

Matt Rasmussen Bill Taylor



DEB-0949121

